Incremental excess of PM components and sources between two adjacent sites of Bogotá, Colombia

Nestor Y. ROJAS¹*, Felipe VILLAMIL², Irene ROSAS³, Juan Felipe MÉNDEZ-ESPINOSA⁴ and James Jay SCHAUER⁵

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia. Calle 44 45-67 Bloque B5-Of. 407, Bogotá, Colombia.

² College of Agricultural, Livestock and Environmental Sciences. Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia, Bogotá, Colombia. Transversal 31 # 12 - 38 sur, Bogotá, Colombia.

³ University of Wisconsin Madison, Water Science and Engineering Laboratory, 660 North Park Street, Madison, WI 53706, USA.

* Corresponding author: nyrojasr@unal.edu.co

Received: October 2, 2020; accepted: December 11, 2020

RESUMEN

La contaminación del aire en localidades adyacentes y viento abajo de las grandes ciudades puede ser similar o incluso mayor que en la ciudad misma. En el caso de las localidades que constituyen el área metropolitana de Bogotá, con más de medio millón de habitantes y una fuerte actividad industrial, poco se sabe sobre los factores que inciden en la mala calidad del aire. Este trabajo investigó el exceso incremental en la composición y el aporte de fuentes a PM_{2.5} en dos sitios cerca de Bogotá (Soacha: 4°35'4.59" N, 74°13'11.62" W; y Mosquera: 4°42'9.75" N, 74°13'54.94" W), usando el modelo de receptor de Balance de Masa Químico con marcadores moleculares orgánicos y análisis de retro-trayectoria. La recolección simultánea de muestras se llevó a cabo durante dos meses. La materia orgánica fue el componente principal de la masa de $PM_{2.5}$ (66 ± $14 \% y 61 \pm 12 \%$), mientras que los iones inorgánicos secundarios (sulfato, nitrato y amonio) constituyeron el 13 \pm 8 % y el 10 \pm 2 %. Las principales fuentes antropogénicas que contribuyeron al PM_{2.5} en Soacha fueron la combustión de madera (23 %), los vehículos diésel (19 %) y la combustión de carbón en pequeñas instalaciones (11 %). En Mosquera, fueron vehículos de gasolina (26%), vehículos diésel (19%) y combustión de carbón en pequeñas instalaciones ineficientes (15%). La contribución de aerosol orgánico secundario regional a PM_{2.5} fue significativa (19% y 15%), provenientes en su mayoría de la cuenca del Orinoco, pero en mayor cantidad en masas de aire provenientes de la selva amazónica. La contribución regional de aerosol inorgánico secundario fue mayor con vientos provenientes del Valle del Magdalena. Los métodos presentados en este trabajo serán útiles en otras megaciudades y grandes ciudades para gestionar mejor los impactos de las fuentes locales y regionales de contaminación del aire.

ABSTRACT

Air pollution in towns adjacent to and downwind of large cities can be similar or even higher than in the city itself. In the case of towns constituting the greater Bogotá area, with more than half a million inhabitants and strong industrial activity, little is known about the factors that affect their poor air quality. This work investigated the incremental excess of the composition and source contribution to PM_{2.5} in two sites near Bogotá (Soacha: 4°35′4.59" N, 74°13′11.62" W; and Mosquera: 4°42′9.75" N, 74°13′54.94" W), using the Chemical Mass Balance receptor model with organic molecular markers, and back trajectory analysis. Simultaneous sample collection was carried out for two-months. Organic matter was the major component of the PM_{2.5} mass ($66 \pm 14\%$ and $61 \pm 12\%$), while secondary inorganic ions (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium) constituted $13 \pm 8\%$ and $10 \pm 2\%$. The main anthropogenic sources contributing to PM_{2.5} at Soacha were

wood combustion (23%), diesel vehicles (19%), and coal combustion at small facilities (11%). At Mosquera, they were gasoline vehicles (26%), diesel vehicles (19%), and coal combustion at small inefficient facilities (15%). The contribution of regional secondary organic aerosol to $PM_{2.5}$ was significant (19% and 15%), arriving mostly from the Orinoco basin but higher in air masses arriving from the Amazon rainforest. The regional contribution to secondary inorganic aerosols was higher with winds from the Magdalena Valley. The methods presented in this manuscript will be useful in other megacities and large cities to better manage impacts of local and regional air pollution sources.

Keywords: Incremental excess, Molecular markers, Chemical Mass Balance, Source apportionment, Back trajectories.

1. Introduction

Latin America exhibits the highest urbanization rate in the world, with more than 80% of its population living in cities and the fastest growth (Cohen, 2004; Sanchez-Rodriguez and Bonilla, 2007). These urbanization processes have not been accompanied by longterm urban planning strategies or robust environmental controls. Consequently, despite improvements made in the last decade, cities in the region still have an obsolete vehicle fleet and highly polluting industries within densely populated areas (Green and Sánchez, 2013; Henríquez and Romero, 2019; Huneeus et al., 2020; Sanchez-Rodriguez and Bonilla, 2007). Recent economic growth has exacerbated the problem by increasing motorization rates as a result of higher income per capita and, hence, intensifying traffic congestion and emissions (Mangones et al., 2019; Gómez Gélvez and Obando Forero, 2014).

Small towns and cities within large metropolitan areas or close to larger cities in the region are now following similar fast-growth processes as a result of urban sprawl, already suffering from poor air quality (Piña, 2014). As traffic congestion and other difficulties increase in large urban centers, part of the population and some businesses and industries decide to move to neighboring towns, leading to an unplanned growth. In other cases, these towns and cities have been providing goods and services to large cities for long periods but have not been able to benefit from their activity, owing to multiple factors, including corruption, lack of political will and weak institutions. As a result, they have suffered poverty and strong environmental degradation, including severe air pollution, driven by the fast growth of large cities (Pardo and Alfonso, 2013). However, their specific air pollution problems have been barely investigated.

Bogotá is Colombia's capital and largest city, with ca. 8 million inhabitants. It is located in northern South America (4° 39' N, 74° 06' W) on a plateau at 2,600 m.a.s.l. in the middle of the oriental branch of the Colombian Andes. Air pollution has been monitored for more than 20 years, showing that particulate matter is the most important air pollutant, frequently exceeding the Colombian ambient air quality standards, higher than the WHO air quality guidelines (Green and Sánchez, 2013; Henríquez and Romero, 2019). Several studies have determined PM_{10} source contributions using receptor models and have estimated emission inventories. The city government, through its environmental agency, Secretaría Distrital de Ambiente (SDA), has partially implemented a tenyear pollution abatement plan that started in 2010, based on some of these studies. The contribution of different sources to particulate matter air pollution is fairly well understood in Bogotá. Vargas et al. (2012) and Vargas and Rojas (2010) have shown that mobile sources (60%), resuspended dust (9%), and secondary pollutants and long-range transport contribute to PM_{10} in a residential/commercial area, whereas industrial emissions (44%), mobile emissions (35%) and resuspended dust (21%) contribute to PM_{10} in an area with mixed activities (residential, commercial, industrial). In a longer study, (Ramírez et al., 2018a) found that 51% of PM₁₀ was attributable to dust resuspension (28% to enriched fugitive dust and 23% to road dust), 23% to vehicle exhaust, 21% to secondary aerosol, and 5.1% to industrial sources (4.4% to ferrous smelters and 0.5% to Pb-related emissions) in a central urban background site. Analyzing the carbonaceous compounds at the same site and during the same period, (Ramírez et al., 2018b) found that Elemental Carbon was 9%, Primary Organic Carbon (POC) was 13.2% and Secondary Organic Carbon

was 10.8% of PM₁₀, and that the OC/EC ratio ranged from 1.66 in June to 4.88 in March. Primary sources (industrial and vehicle exhaust emissions) dominate the organic fraction of PM₁₀ from June to August, whereas Secondary Organic Carbon (SOC), probably from different sources, dominates the organic fraction during the rest of the year. Note that even though PM_{2.5} has a higher association with morbidity and mortality of the population, source apportionment studies in Bogotá have been focused on PM₁₀, and that no study has applied a Chemical Mass Balance model. Moreover, no study has been conducted to investigate in depth the organic fraction of the aerosol.

The metropolitan area of Bogotá includes additionally 3 counties (Sabana Centro, Sabana Occidente, and Soacha), with 12 municipalities and a population of ca. 1.5 million inhabitants (Figure S1), which are under the jurisdiction of a different environmental agency, Corporación Autónoma Regional de Cundinamarca (CAR). These counties have industrial areas and are currently facing a challenging growth process of their economy and their population, associated with Bogotá's urban sprawl. Some of their towns have suffered air pollution impacts for several years and others are recently starting to experience them. Particulate matter has been identified as the most concerning pollutant of the area under the jurisdiction of CAR, frequently exceeding the Colombian ambient air quality standards. The Soacha county, with ca. 550,000 inhabitants, and the Sabana Occidente county, with ca. 420,000 inhabitants, are of special interest because of the presence of important industrial districts in the towns of Soacha, Mosquera, Funza, Madrid and Facatativá; mining for construction materials and clay in Soacha; and national roads that connect Bogotá with western and southern cities and the Pacific coast. This work will focus on these counties, with sites in Soacha and Mosquera. Figure S2 shows the map of the Sabana Occidente county and the location of the Soacha and Mosquera monitoring sites. Bogotá's ten-year pollution abatement plan did not include these neighboring counties but only its main urban center, the Distrito Capital (Capital District). Source contribution to particulate matter is unknown in this area (Zafra Mejía et al., 2013). Therefore, in addition to improving emission inventories, the chemical characterization of airborne

particulate matter and the application of receptor models are necessary and valuable to determine the source contribution to particulate matter, particularly $PM_{2.5}$. This source contribution is essential to design an appropriate and prioritized air pollution abatement plan for these counties.

Given the importance of the carbonaceous fraction of PM_{10} in Bogotá found by Ramírez et al. (2018b), and the higher association of $PM_{2.5}$ with health effects than PM_{10} , the aim of this work was to determine the source apportionment to PM_{2.5} using molecular markers (Bullock et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2015; Lambe et al., 2009; Oros and Simoneit, 2000; Robinson et al., 2006; Schauer et al., 1996; Shrivastava et al., 2007; Stone et al., 2008; Villalobos et al., 2017, 2015) and to understand the incremental excess between two neighboring towns of Bogotá. Similar studies in Latin America have focused on the source contributions to fine particulate matter in Temuco and Santiago (Villalobos et al., 2017, 2015), and Mexico (Stone et al., 2010, 2008; Vega et al., 2004). On the other hand, McGinnis et al. (2014) examined $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, source contributions, and incremental excess across three sites in the same airshed in Wisconsin, USA.

This study will determine the chemical composition of $PM_{2.5}$ in two sites adjacent to Bogotá and assess source contributions to $PM_{2.5}$, based on the concentrations of molecular markers and the application of the chemical mass balance (CMB) model to better characterize the air pollution problem at the regional scale.

2. Methods

2.1. Sampling sites and strategy

Sampling sites were installed in Mosquera (in Sabana Occidente county) and Soacha (in Soacha county), western neighboring towns of Bogotá's Capital District, both influenced by industrial activities and the transit of a high volume of trucks, buses, and vehicles. The sampling sites were separated 13.1 km. The Mosquera sampling site (4°42'9.75" N, 74°13'54.94" W) was located at a 7-m high monitoring platform regularly used for manual particulate matter monitoring, in the middle of the Villa Olímpica public sports fields. Mosquera has ca. 83,000 inhabitants and has an important industrial corridor, with

food and beverage, metalwork, and plastics sectors contributing significantly. The Soacha sampling site (4°35'4.59" N, 74°13'11.62" W) was located on the roof of the 5-story Mario Gaitán Yanguas Public Hospital building. The hospital is on a narrow but congested street, with a medium volume of vehicles and small buses, at 620 meters from Autopista Sur, the main corridor connecting Bogotá with southern and western Colombia and one of the most congested highways in the country, particularly because of a massive volume of heavy-duty trucks. Soacha also has a large industrial corridor, with mining for construction materials a significant activity, which keeps growing at an alarming rate under insufficient planning provisions.

Simultaneous sampling of 24-h integrated PM_{2.5} filters was performed at each site between 12 September 2014 and 11 November 2014 using paired samplers. In Soacha, three 16.7 lpm speciation samplers (Rupprecht & Patashnick, USA), one loaded with a PTFE filter (Zefluor membrane, 2 μ m, 47 mm, Pall Corporation, USA) and two loaded with quartz filters (QMA, 47 mm, Whatman, USA), were used. In Mosquera, one 16.7 lpm speciation sampler was loaded with a PTFE filter and one high volume sampler equipped with a brushless monitor (TE-1000, 200 lpm, Tisch Environmental, USA) was loaded with a 100-mm quartz filter, cut from a larger sheet (QM-A, 203.2 x 254 mm, Whatman, USA). PTFE filters were used to determine PM_{2.5} mass, ions and trace metals, and guartz filters to determine ECOC and organic tracer speciation. Before and after sampling, filters were stored and transported in Petri dishes, with internal aluminum foil holders and an external Teflon tape seal, refrigerated at -20°C until chemical analyses.

To ensure an appropriate mass loading for chemical speciation, filters compositing was performed. Composites from stage 1 corresponded to 3 groups of consecutive days of the same week: Monday-Tuesday, Wednesday to Friday, and Saturday-Sunday, which were analyzed for WSOC and ions. Any differences in these components between weekdays and weekends could be detected in this way. Composites from stage 2 corresponded to weekly groups, which were analyzed for metals and organics. As a result, 25 composites from stage 1 and 9 weekly composites of each filter material at each site were formed. 2.2. $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration and chemical analysis Teflon filters used for gravimetric analysis were conditioned at 20±3°C and 35±5% for 24-48 h before being weighed with a microbalance (MX5, ±1 µg, Mettler Toledo, USA). Each filter was weighed three times and re-weighed if a difference higher than 15 µg in consecutive weights was found.

Three samples per week from each site were analyzed for organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), Water-Soluble Organic Carbon (WSOC), and water-soluble inorganic ions (WSII). EC and OC were determined through the NIOSH thermal optical transmission method and flame ionization detection (FID) (Schauer et al., 2003) on a 1.0 cm^2 quartz filter punch in a Thermal Optical Analyzer (Sunset Laboratories, Forest Grove, OR, USA). WSOC was determined using a TOC-V SCH Shimadzu total organic carbon analyzer on an extract of a quarter of each PTFE filter in 15 mL of Milli-Q water (Miyazaki et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2003). The difference OC – WSOC produced the Water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC), with an uncertainty calculated by uncertainty propagation. WSII were analyzed using ion chromatography (IC) (Dionex ICS 2100 and Dionex ICS 100) (Wang et al., 2005). Seven ions were measured: sulfate, nitrate, chloride, sodium, ammonium, potassium, and calcium.

Weekly composites for each site with at least 500 µg of OC were prepared to analyze organic compounds by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-6980, quadrupole MS-5973, Agilent Technology). Isotopically-labeled standard solutions were used to spike the filter composites before the extraction using four alternate and equal volumes of dichloromethane and acetone, each one sonicated for 20 minutes. Solvents were evaporated in a rotavapor and reduced in volume by blowing ultrapure nitrogen. Two aliquots of each extract were analyzed by GC-MS. In the first one, diazomethane was used to derivatize carboxylic acids. In the second one, a silvlating reagent derivatized hydroxyl groups (Nolte et al., 2002; Stone et al., 2008). A sample of SRM 1649a (Urban Dust, NIST) and a standard spike sample were analyzed together with each batch of samples for quality control. All concentrations were blank-corrected. Uncertainties were estimated using the detection limits of the instruments and the standard deviation of field blanks. Details on the

analytical methods can be found elsewhere (Villalobos, 2015; Miyazaki et al., 2011; Stone et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2005; Schauer et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003; Nolte et al., 2002).

2.3. Source apportionment

The EPA Chemical Mass Balance model software CMB v8.2 (Watson et al., 1984) was used to estimate primary source contributions to organic carbon (OC) for the eight weekly composite samples at each site. Organic compounds included in the model were selected for their stability and low volatility during their transport in the atmosphere (Schauer et al., 1996): polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (such as benzo(b) fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(e)pyrene, indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene, benzo(ghi) perylene, ABB-20R-C27-cholestane, ABB-20R-C29-sitostane, ABB-20S-C29-sitostane) as tracers of vehicle emissions and biomass burning (Ravindra et al., 2008); picene as a tracer of coal combustion (Oros and Simoneit, 2000); hopanes (such as 17α(H)-22. 29. 30-trisnorhopane, $17\alpha(H)$ -21 $\beta(H)$ -30-norhopane, $17\alpha(H)$ -21 β (H)-hopane) as tracers of gasoline, diesel, and fuel oil combustion (Rogge et al., 1997; Schauer et al., 1996; Shrivastava et al., 2007); and levoglucosan as a tracer of biomass burning (Bi et al., 2008; Fine et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2019; Rincón-Riveros et al., 2020; Simoneit et al., 1999; T. Zhang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2007). Since no local source profiles were available, we selected published source profiles for the model: natural gas combustion (Rogge et al., 1993), diesel vehicles (Lough et al., 2007; Lough and Schauer, 2007), gasoline vehicles (Lough et al., 2007; Lough and Schauer, 2007), wood smoke (Fine et al., 2004) and residential coal burning (Zhang et al., 2008) assimilating small facilities. These sources have been used in Latin America by Villalobos et al. (2017; 2015) for source apportionment in Santiago and Temuco, Chile. Other source profiles such as meat cooking and vegetative detritus were included in preliminary runs of the model but were not found to produce acceptable results. Source contributions to OC from the CMB model were converted to PM_{2.5} contributions using specific OC/PM_{2.5} ratios for each source (Sheesley et al., 2007; Turpin and Lim, 2001; Zhang et al., 2008). As it will be shown, sources that were not resolved by the CMB model were assumed to be associated with secondary organic compounds and converted using

an OC/PM_{2.5} ratio of 0.5 (Daher et al., 2012; Turpin and Lim, 2001; Utembe et al., 2009; Yin et al., 2010), even though there may be other unresolved sources such as meat cooking and trash burning.

2.4. Backward trajectories

Potential pollutant sources were identified based on cluster and 2D kernel density analysis of backward trajectories (BWT) of air masses during the sampling periods (weekly from 15 September 2014 to 9 November 2014). The HYSPLIT Lagrangian back trajectory model (Stein et al., 2015) was used to track the origin and location of air masses arriving at the sampling sites (Mosquera: 4°42'9.75" N, 74°13'54.94" W, and Soacha: 4°35'4.59" N, 74°13'11.62" W). The configuration used by Mendez-Espinosa et al. (2019) to calculate BWT was followed. BWTs were calculated eight times per day (starting at 1 UTC-5 <local time>. every 3 hours). Each trajectory was computed for 72 h to analyze short, middle, and long-range transport in the mesoscale (less than 600 km). The Global Data Assimilation System of 1° x 1° (GDAS1) was used as input gridded meteorological dataset since it is more suitable over complex topography and diversified land uses (Su et al., 2015), using SplitR v0.4 R-package by (Iannone, 2016). As the inaccuracy of BWT models could be directly proportional to shorter receptor heights given that local winds, turbulence processes, and frictional effects cannot be accurately represented (McGowan and Clark, 2008; Sapkota et al., 2005; Zielinski et al., 2016), BWT were calculated for two receptor heights: 500m, and 1000m above ground level (AGL). Receptor heights were set up below and near mixing height (~1500m/year) (Mendez-Espinosa et al., 2019). BWT were linked with pollutant species, hereafter, they were grouped according to their angle. Angular distance clustering was computed using the OpenAir package (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012). The results are shown as concentration per chemical concentrations associated with clustering trajectories. Then, back-trajectories density maps were created by clusters to estimate the areas with the larger density of points related to the geo-location of air masses.

In the cluster analysis, a normality test (Shapiro-Wilk) was applied to the data. No dataset per cluster and pollutant was found to be normally distributed, and therefore, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests (one-way ANOVA on ranks) were calculated to determine whether or not there was a significant difference (p-value <0.05) among clusters per observed pollutant.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentrations, bulk composition, and mass reconstruction

Daily PM_{2.5} concentrations, shown in Figure S3, during the sampling period were $31 \pm 15 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ in Soacha (max. 78 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$) and $26 \pm 12 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ in

Mosquera (max. $87 \mu g m^{-3}$). The percentage of samples exceeding the current Colombian Air Quality Standard ($37 \mu g m^{-3}$) and the WHO guideline ($25 \mu g m^{-3}$) was 30%, and 60% respectively in Soacha, 16%, and 45% respectively in Mosquera. Figure 1 shows daily scatter plot comparisons of PM_{2.5} and major constituents (3 samples per week were analyzed) between Soacha and Mosquera. For each chemical species, the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and the Coefficient of Divergence (COD) between both sampling sites were calculated. The Pearson coefficient shows the degree of correspondence, so values close to 1



Fig. 1. Daily scatter plot comparisons of PM_{2.5} and major constituents between Soacha and Mosquera. 3 daily samples were analyzed per week. Different symbols represent different weeks.

indicate that concentrations at both sites are proportional. The COD shows the heterogeneity, so values lower than 0.2 indicate that concentrations have a homogeneous spatial distributions between both sites and values close to 1 indicate that concentrations are heterogeneous (Xie et al., 2012; Yatkin et al., 2020). Nitrate (r = 0.75, COD = 0.29), sulfate (r = 0.66, COD = 0.27) and calcium (r = 0.70, COD = 0.27) concentrations showed the highest r, suggesting that there is some regional influence of secondary inorganic aerosols and dust, although their spatial distribution was heterogeneous. EC (r = -0.02, COD = 0.25), OC $(r^2 = -0.05, COD = 0.23)$ and $PM_{2.5}$ (r = 0.12, COD = 0.25), are poorly correlated and heterogeneous, which suggests that the main sources of PM2.5 and its carbonaceous fractions are local at both sites.

PM_{2.5} mass reconstruction was performed for the three samples per week analyzed from each site, by adding EC, Organic Material (OM) and WSII. OM concentrations were calculated using an OM/OC factor of 1.6 for both sites, as recommended by Turpin and Lim (2001) for urban aerosols. Reconstructed mass was $104 \pm 25\%$ and $103 \pm 26\%$ in Soacha and Mosquera, respectively. OM constituted a large fraction of PM_{2.5}, with $68 \pm 19\%$ (22.3 $\pm 7.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) and $63 \pm 19\%$ (17.8 ± 7.6 µg m⁻³) in Soacha and Mosquera, respectively. EC represented $21 \pm 5\%$ and 25 \pm 5% of PM_{2.5}, respectively. The most abundant ion was Sulfate $(5.7 \pm 2.0\%$ and $5.4 \pm 2.8\%$), followed by ammonium $(3.0 \pm 1.3\%$ and $2.9 \pm 1.4\%)$ and nitrate $(1.5 \pm 0.7\% \text{ and } 1.8 \pm 0.3\%)$. Chloride $(1.5 \pm 0.6\%)$ and $1.1 \pm 0.6\%$) and Calcium $(1.3 \pm 0.8\%)$ and $1.5 \pm$ 0.8%) contributions to PM_{2.5} are as high as nitrate. Figure S4 shows the PM_{2.5} mass reconstruction for

all the samples analyzed at both sites: 3 samples per week for 8 weeks. Figure 2a shows the weekly average $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and bulk composition at Soacha. Figure 2b and Table SII show the incremental excess of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and bulk composition in Soacha compared to Mosquera. An excess of $PM_{2.5}$ was found in Soacha compared to Mosquera for most of the sampling period, excepting weeks 1 and 3. Similarly, an excess of the $PM_{2.5}$ carbonaceous fractions (EC, WIOM, and WSOM) was found in Soacha compared to Mosquera, excepting EC in weeks 1, 3, and 5, and WIOM in week 1. A mixed situation was found for ions.

3.2. Molecular markers

Molecular markers used in the CMB model made up a small fraction of OM, but they are useful to identify and quantify the OM and $PM_{2.5}$ sources. Table SI shows the weekly average concentrations of organic fractions and molecular markers with their corresponding uncertainties, and variability metrics for each species (median, interquartile range and standard deviation). Even though the sampling period was short, the observed concentration variability was reasonably good for the application of the CMB model.

Figures 2 to 7 show the weekly concentrations of EC + OC and molecular markers in Soacha (part a) and the incremental excess of EC + OC and molecular markers in Soacha compared to Mosquera (part b). EC + OC showed similar temporal trends to $PM_{2.5}$ at both sites, with higher-than-average concentrations at Soacha during weeks 2 and, especially, during week 7 (Fig. 3a). There was a consistent incremental excess



Fig. 2. a) Weekly $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentrations and bulk composition in Soacha and b) Incremental excess of major constituents of $PM_{2.5}$ in Soacha compared to Mosquera.



Fig. 3. a) Weekly EC and OC concentrations in Soacha and b) Incremental excess of EC and OC in Soacha compared to Mosquera.

of EC at Soacha compared to Mosquera, with the only exception of week 1. The incremental excess of OC at Soacha compared to Mosquera (Fig. 3b) was negative in weeks 1, 3, and, to a lesser extent, 5, and positive for the rest of the weeks, with higher excess in weeks 7 and 8. OC/EC ratios were $1.91 \pm$ 0.37 at Soacha and 1.60 ± 0.30 at Mosquera. These ratios can be considered as typical of urban aerosols with an influence of secondary aerosol formation (Blanchard et al., 2011; Khan et al., 2012). They were lower than the ratios measured in Santiago (Villalobos et al., 2015) and Temuco (Villalobos et al., 2017) - with a high influence of biomass burning for heating in winter. They were similar to the ratio found at Xalostoc industrial site and lower than those at La Merced commercial site and Pedregal residential site in Mexico City (Vega et al., 2004).

Individual concentrations of PAHs in Soacha (Fig. 4a) were 1.98 ± 0.77 ng m⁻³ for benzo[b]fluoranthene, 1.69 ± 0.64 ng m⁻³ for benzo[k]fluoranthene and 1.69

 ± 0.64 ng m⁻³ for benzo[e]pyrene). They were in a similar range to those measured in Temuco and lower than those in Santiago during winter (Villalobos et al., 2017, 2015), but 20 times higher than near an oil refinery in northeastern Mexico (Montaño-Soto et al., 2017), 10 times higher than in Monterrey, Mexico (Longoria-Rodríguez et al., 2020) and around 70%-80% of the levels found in Cuernavaca, México (Murillo-Tovar et al., 2018; Saldarriaga-Noreña et al., 2015). Incremental excess of all these compounds in Soacha compared to Mosquera (Fig. 4b) were negative in weeks 1, 3, 5, and, particularly, 8. The negative excess was consistent for benzo(k)fluoranthene for the rest of the period. There was also a slight negative excess of benzo(e)pyrene in week 2 for benzo(e) pyrene. Overall, the incremental excess in Soacha tended to be negative for these PAHs (Fig. 4b).

Picene concentrations were around one half and 75% of those measured in Temuco (Villalobos et al., 2017) at Soacha (Fig. 7a) and Mosquera ($0.37 \pm$



Fig. 4. a) Weekly PAH concentrations in Soacha and b) Incremental excess of PAH in Soacha compared to Mosquera.

0.09 ng m⁻³ and 0.55 \pm 0.13 ng m⁻³, respectively), showing that coal burning contributes to PM_{2.5} at both sites. Picene was not detected during week 3 at Mosquera. The incremental excess (Fig. 7b) was positive only for weeks 3, 4, and 7 (Fig. 7b). Overall, picene concentrations suggest that the impact of coal burning is similar at both sites.

Hopane concentrations were 1.27 ± 0.20 ng m⁻³ at Soacha (Fig. 5a) and 2.81 ± 0.24 ng m⁻³ at Mosquera, a similar range to that found in Santiago (Villalobos et al., 2015) and Mexico City (Stone et al., 2008), and higher than in Temuco (Villalobos et al., 2017). All the hopanes included in this analysis had a negative incremental excess in Soacha, showing a lower impact of gasoline vehicles compared to Mosquera (Fig. 5b).

The sum of concentrations of n-Alkanes from C27 to C33 were 34.2 ± 9.46 ng m⁻³ at Soacha (Fig. 6a) and 54.8 ± 5.86 ng m⁻³ at Mosquera, 1.8 and 3-fold the concentration measured in Temuco (Villalobos et al., 2017) and similar to those measured in Santiago

before and after wintertime (Villalobos et al., 2015). For most of the sampling weeks, the incremental excess of n-alkanes in Soacha was negative, except for octacosane in week 4; heptacosane, octacosane, and nonacosane in week 7 (Fig. 6b). The Carbon Preference Index – CPI applied to the C28 to C33 n-alkanes had values of 0.82 to 0.99 at Soacha and 1.04 to 1.42 at Mosquera, indicating that n-alkanes in PM_{2.5} originate from anthropogenic sources.

Levoglucosan concentrations were 599.7 \pm 72.35 ng m⁻³ at Soacha (Fig. 8a) and 258.3 \pm 28.5 ng m⁻³ at Mosquera, similar to those measured in Santiago between April and May (Villalobos et al., 2015), and 70% and 30%, respectively, of those measured in Temuco (Villalobos et al., 2017), a city that is known for its high wood-burning contribution to PM_{2.5} in winter. The incremental excess in Soacha was positive throughout the sampling period, indicating a much stronger impact of wood burning compared to Mosquera (Fig. 8b). The average Levoglucosan/PM_{2.5} ratio was slightly lower than that in Temuco



Fig. 5. a) Weekly hopanes concentrations in Soacha and b) Incremental excess of hopanes in Soacha compared to Mosquera.



Fig. 6. a) Weekly alkanes concentrations in Soacha and b) Incremental excess of alkanes in Soacha compared to Mosquera.



Fig. 7. a) Weekly picene concentrations in Soacha and b) Incremental excess of picene in Soacha compared to Mosquera.



Fig. 8. a) Weekly levoglucosan concentrations in Soacha and b) Mosquera.

in winter and Santiago from June to September at Soacha (0.018) and one half of that value at Mosquera (0.009). Levoglucosan had a similar trend to $PM_{2.5}$ at Soacha, with a higher peak during week 2 and low concentration during week 5. At Mosquera, levoglucosan did not have strong variations and showed a fairly similar trend to $PM_{2.5}$.

3.3. Chemical mass balance source apportionment to organic carbon

The sources that are more likely to contribute to organic carbon at the two sites are diesel trucks, gasoline vehicles, natural gas combustion, coal combustion in small facilities, and wood combustion in local bakeries. The ratio-ratio plots for Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene x 1000/EC and benzo(g,h,i)perylene x 1000/EC in Figure S7, suggested by Robinson et al. (2006) as a useful tool to visualize the potential combination of sources, shows that all the ambient samples fell within the region formed by connecting the ratios of the source profiles selected for applying the CMB model. Ambient samples in Soacha (Fig. S7a) were close to the line connecting the ratios for wood

combustion and diesel vehicles, whereas those in Mosquera (Fig. S7b) fell in the center of the polygon, showing that gasoline vehicles and coal combustion may have a higher contribution than in Soacha.

Considering the concentration/uncertainty ratios shown in Figure S6, and results from preliminary runs of the CMB model, the fitting species selected for the definite run were: EC, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(e)pyrene, benzo(g,h,i) perylene, 17A(H)-22,29,30-Trisnorhopane, 17A(H)-21B(H)-30-Norhopane, 17A(H)-21B(H)-Hopane, ABB-20R-C27-Cholestane, ABB-20R-C29-Sitostane + ABB-20S-C29-Sitostane, Levoglucosane and Picene.

CMB model results are shown in Table I, including the values for the fitting statistics R^2 and χ^2 , and the percent of OC mass explained by the model. R^2 values above 0.97 and χ^2 values below 2.2 indicate a good fit between the selected sources and ambient measurements at both sites. The percent of OC mass explained by the model was within the accepted range (80% - 120%) for just half of the weekly samples at both sites and lower than 80% for the other

| a) Soacha | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|-------|
| Week | Wood burning | Natural gas | Diesel | Gasoline | Coal | Other | R2 | χ^2 | % OC |
| | μg m [_] | μg m [_] | μg m ⁻ | μg m [_] | μg m [_] | μg m [_] | | | Mass |
| 1 | 5.66 ± 1.26 | $\textbf{0.04} \pm \textbf{0.02}$ | 1.40 ± 0.16 | $\textbf{2.04} \pm \textbf{0.23}$ | 0.06 ± 0.04 | 2.23 ± 1.24 | 0.97 | 1.89 | 80.9 |
| 2 | $\textbf{8.88} \pm \textbf{1.94}$ | 0.02 ± 0.03 | $\textbf{2.48} \pm \textbf{0.27}$ | 3.15 ± 0.34 | 0.12 ± 0.05 | 1.13 ± 1.90 | 0.98 | 1.1 | 92.9 |
| 3 | $\textbf{4.81} \pm \textbf{1.09}$ | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 1.61 ± 0.17 | $\boldsymbol{1.78 \pm 0.20}$ | 0.04 ± 0.03 | $\textbf{3.97} \pm \textbf{1.07}$ | 0.97 | 1.74 | 67.8 |
| 4 | 5.78 ± 1.31 | $\textbf{0.08} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | $\textbf{2.13} \pm \textbf{0.22}$ | $\textbf{2.21} \pm \textbf{0.28}$ | 0.18 ± 0.06 | 0.98 ± 1.30 | 0.98 | 1.26 | 91.4 |
| 5 | $\textbf{2.80} \pm \textbf{0.68}$ | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 1.94 ± 0.19 | 1.35 ± 0.16 | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 5.37 ± 0.69 | 0.98 | 1.36 | 53.6 |
| 6 | 6.05 ± 1.37 | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 2.36 ± 0.24 | 2.14 ± 0.25 | 0.23 ± 0.06 | 2.57 ± 1.35 | 0.99 | 0.65 | 80.8 |
| 7 | 9.37 ± 2.09 | 0.11 ± 0.04 | 4.58 ± 0.44 | 2.51 ± 0.31 | 0.23 ± 0.07 | 4.78 ± 2.07 | 0.98 | 1.33 | 77.9 |
| 8 | 4.80 ± 1.10 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 3.21 ± 0.30 | 2.03 ± 0.24 | 0.17 ± 0.05 | 2.93 ± 1.11 | 0.98 | 1.15 | 77.7 |
| Average | 6.02 ± 1.35 | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 2.46 ± 0.25 | 2.15 ± 0.25 | 0.17 ± 0.05 | 3.86 ± 1.23 | | | |
| b) Mosquera | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1.96 ± 0.52 | $\textbf{0.08} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | 1.59 ± 0.19 | 5.01 ± 0.53 | 0.08 ± 0.07 | 2.58 ± 0.58 | 0.97 | 2.02 | 77.7 |
| 2 | 1.46 ± 0.39 | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 1.71 ± 0.22 | 6.65 ± 0.71 | 0.08 ± 0.08 | 3.14 ± 0.67 | 0.96 | 2.13 | 76.6 |
| 3 | 1.46 ± 0.39 | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 1.71 ± 0.22 | 6.65 ± 0.71 | 0.08 ± 0.08 | 0.47 ± 0.54 | 0.96 | 2.13 | 96.4 |
| 4 | 1.70 ± 0.45 | $\textbf{0.08} \pm \textbf{0.02}$ | 1.69 ± 0.18 | 3.58 ± 0.41 | 0.17 ± 0.06 | 1.01 ± 0.43 | 0.98 | 1.18 | 87.7 |
| 5 | 1.36 ± 0.36 | $\textbf{0.05} \pm \textbf{0.02}$ | 1.77 ± 0.20 | 4.27 ± 0.46 | 0.08 ± 0.05 | $\textbf{2.94} \pm \textbf{0.54}$ | 0.97 | 1.71 | 72.4 |
| 6 | 1.85 ± 0.49 | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 2.11 ± 0.24 | 5.02 ± 0.55 | 0.06 ± 0.06 | 2.93 ± 0.62 | 0.96 | 2.18 | 76.1 |
| 7 | 1.76 ± 0.47 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | $\textbf{2.86} \pm \textbf{0.29}$ | 4.14 ± 0.51 | 0.22 ± 0.08 | -0.07 ± 0.47 | 0.98 | 1.39 | 100.8 |
| 8 | $\textbf{2.12} \pm \textbf{0.57}$ | 0.25 ± 0.05 | 1.51 ± 0.17 | 3.99 ± 0.51 | 0.40 ± 0.12 | 1.90 ± 0.53 | 0.98 | 1.35 | 81.3 |
| Average | 1.71 ± 0.46 | 0.11 ± 0.03 | $\boldsymbol{1.87 \pm 0.21}$ | 4.92 ± 0.55 | 0.26 ± 0.09 | $\textbf{2.42} \pm \textbf{0.56}$ | | | |

Table I. Source contribution to OC at the study sites. Significant sources are shown in bold.

half. The unexplained mass was considered to be due to "Other" source, which was calculated as the difference between the measured OC concentration and the sum of the calculated concentrations of the significant fitting sources. It is, therefore, a measure of unresolved sources. Wood combustion, diesel vehicles, and gasoline vehicles had significant contributions for all samples at both sites. Natural gas combustion was not significant during weeks 2 and 3 at both sites. Coal combustion at small facilities was not significant during weeks 1 and 3 at Soacha and was significant only during weeks 4, 7, and 8 at Mosquera. Other sources were not significant during weeks 2, 4, and 6 at Soacha, and during weeks 3 and 7 at Mosquera.

The main contributor to OC in Soacha (Fig. 9a) was wood combustion $(6.02 \pm 1.35 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$ followed by diesel vehicles $(2.46 \pm 0.25 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, other



Fig. 9. a) Weekly source contribution to OC in Soacha and b) Mosquera.

sources $(3.86 \pm 1.23 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, gasoline vehicles $(2.15 \pm 0.25 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, coal combustion in small facilities $(0.17 \pm 0.05 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$ and natural gas combustion $(0.06 \pm 0.02 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$. In Mosquera (Fig. 9b), the main contributors were gasoline vehicles $(4.92 \pm 0.55 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, followed by other sources $(2.42 \pm 0.56 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, diesel vehicles $(1.87 \pm 0.21 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, wood combustion $(1.71 \pm 0.46 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, coal combustion in small facilities $(0.26 \pm 0.09 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$ and natural gas combustion $(0.11 \pm 0.03 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$.

The use of chemical source profiles from the USA in Colombia is indeed a source of uncertainty in determining source contribution by using the CMB model, especially regarding vehicle technologies and fuels. The vehicle emission profiles used here were developed from a wide range of technologies of the Californian fleet (1975-2001), in which the Colombian fleet can be considered to be included. On the other hand, Colombian fuels meet similar standards to those in place in California over that period. Therefore, the true emission profiles can be thought to be inside the range of the profiles documented by Lough and Schauer (2007).

3.4. Source apportionment to $PM_{2.5}$

Figure 10 shows the results of the source contribution to PM_{2.5}, after applying specific OC to PM_{2.5} factors to the source contributions to OC and adding the concentrations of WSII. The main contributors at Soacha (Fig. 10a) were wood combustion (7.20 \pm 2.59 µg m⁻³), diesel vehicles (6.05 \pm 2.51 µg m⁻³), secondary organic aerosols (5.99 \pm 3.21 µg m⁻³), coal combustion in small facilities (3.49 \pm 1.87 µg m⁻³) and gasoline vehicles $(2.77 \pm 0.68 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$. At Mosquera (Fig. 10b), the main contributors were gasoline vehicles $(6.33 \pm 1.52 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, diesel vehicles $(4.58 \pm 1.07 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, secondary organic aerosols $(3.73 \pm 2.49 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, coal combustion at small facilities $(3.65 \pm 2.91 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$ and wood combustion $(2.04 \pm 0.32 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$. The average undetermined mass was 8% of PM_{2.5} at Soacha (max 27%) and 11% of PM_{2.5} at Mosquera (max 30%). This fraction may be associated with dust and other industrial and commercial sources. Previous source apportionment studies in Bogotá had been made for PM₁₀ (Ramírez et al., 2018a; Ramírez et al., 2018b; Vargas et al., 2012), not for PM_{2.5}, and could not resolve the contributions of different types of vehicles.

The scatter plot comparisons of source contributions between Soacha and Mosquera (Fig. 11) shows relatively high correlations for ammonium ($r^2 = 0.64$) and sulfate ($r^2 = 0.72$), associated with the regional contribution of secondary inorganic aerosols during the sampling period. The correlation was also high for the contribution of diesel exhaust, which can be attributed to similar behavior of the cargo fleet traffic at both sites. Natural gas contributions were well correlated between both sites most of the time, but a higher contribution in Mosquera in week 8 appears as an outlier. Other sources are not well correlated, indicating that they only have local influence. The high variability in the contribution of wood combustion in Soacha contrasts with the fairly constant contribution in Mosquera. The opposite is shown for the contribution of gasoline, more variable in Mosquera, and less variable in Soacha.



Fig. 10. a) Weekly source contribution to $PM_{2.5}$ from molecular markers in Soacha and b) Incremental excess of source contributions to $PM_{2.5}$ in Soacha compared to Mosquera.



Fig. 11. Weekly scatter plot comparisons of source contributions between Soacha and Mosquera.

In summary, even though chemical source profiles were not developed in Colombia, these source apportionment results are reasonable when considering the characteristics and activities found around the sites and suggest that future emission inventories exercises should not underestimate the importance of wood and coal burning in small facilities, often neglected.

3.5. Backward trajectories

Back-trajectory kernel densities for both sites for the whole sampling period (Fig. 12) show three clusters. C1 corresponds to air masses arriving from the Orinoco basin, passing over Bogotá, with 64.6 % of the trajectories. C2 (24.7 %) has mixed trajectories from the Orinoco basin and influence of the Amazon rainforest in the South, arriving at the sites from the East, having passed over Bogotá. C3 (10.7 %) arrives from the Northwest, with air masses from the Magdalena Valley, between the Central and Eastern Colombian Andes, and the influence of the Pacific Coast.

For a more detailed view, weekly kernel densities are shown in Figure S8. Three clusters per week are plotted, and the percentage of trajectories represented by each cluster per week is indicated. The great majority of the air mass trajectories arrive at both sites from the eastern savanna, which is part of the Orinoco basin, having passed over Bogotá. In several weeks, two of the three clusters, or even the 3 clusters found do not differ significantly from each other. In week 7, clusters C1 and C2 arriving at Soacha can be associated with C1 arriving at Mosquera, while cluster C3 arriving at Soacha can be associated with clusters C2 and C3 arriving at Mosquera.

Higher wind speeds, and therefore longer trajectories, were observed during week 1 and, to a lesser extent, during week 8, for trajectories arriving from the East. Trajectories in cluster C3 in week 4 (21.4 % and 23.8 %), and week 6 (14.3 %) arrive from the North, at Soacha and Mosquera, respectively. Week 3 showed a slight influence of southeastern trajectories.



Fig. 12. Kernel densities of backward trajectories arriving at Soacha and Mosquera. Percentages correspond to the contribution of C1, C2, and C3 back trajectory clusters at each site.

Week 5 was the only week with a persistent influence of air masses arriving from the South/Southeast (69 % and 71.4 % of trajectories in cluster C2 at Soacha and Mosquera, respectively). It was during this week that a higher contribution of SOA was found at both sites; this may be due to the influence of emissions from rainforest vegetation.

In contrast, air masses from the Northwest (Magdalena Valley) were observed only in week 7. This was the week with the highest $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and the highest contribution of several sources, such as diesel combustion, wood combustion, and secondary inorganic aerosol compounds (sulfate and ammonium). Emission sources located along trajectories from the Northwest are few and weak when compared to those from the East (i.e. the city of Bogotá). This suggests that there was also poorer dispersion of local emissions associated with these Northwest trajectories and a contribution of transport of secondary inorganic aerosols from the Magdalena Valley.

The percentage of clusters per week was very similar for both sites, suggesting that both are influenced by similar air masses. However, the influence of Bogotá's emissions or long-range transport of pollutants does not seem to have been dominant over the concentrations and compositions of $PM_{2.5}$ of the sites during the sampling period. Therefore, the incremental excess in the concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and its constituents, as well as in the source contribution to organic carbon and $PM_{2.5}$, is mostly a consequence of local emissions.

4. Conclusions

This study apportioned OC and PM2.5 at two receptor sites, Soacha and Mosquera, located in Sabana Occidente in the greater Bogotá region, using molecular markers and the CMB receptor model, during 8 weeks between 15 September and 9 November 2014. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in Colombia that applies this approach of source apportionment to particulate matter. The model and the PM_{2.5} reconstruction derived from it were able to resolve the contributions of 6 sources to OC and PM_{2.5}, namely: diesel and gasoline vehicles, natural gas and wood combustion, coal combustion at small facilities, and secondary organic aerosols. The main anthropogenic sources contributing to PM_{2.5} in Soacha were wood combustion (23%), diesel vehicles (19%), and coal combustion at small facilities (11%). The main contributors in Mosquera were gasoline vehicles (26%), diesel vehicles (19%), secondary organic aerosol (15%), and coal combustion at small inefficient facilities (15%). The contribution of regional secondary organic aerosol to PM2.5 was significant at both sites: 19% at Soacha and 15% at Mosquera, higher with southerly winds. Moreover, our results show that there was a regional contribution to secondary inorganic aerosols, higher when winds came from the Magdalena Valley.

The high contribution of wood combustion at Soacha, and coal combustion at both sites, show a different spectrum of sources in smaller towns when compared with the capital city. Wood is more frequently used at local bakeries and restaurants and may be used for cooking and heating at homes. Coal is used in ovens or kilns at small, inefficient industrial facilities. Stringent control of coal use in Bogotá has originated a migration of this kind of facility to neighboring towns, where emission controls are less rigorous.

Our results indicate that previous source apportionment results found in Bogotá would not generate the best set of measures to curb air pollution if applied in these neighboring towns. The regional environmental agency that has jurisdiction over them needs to strengthen their emission control strategies with different foci: promote improved wood stoves or ovens in commercial activities and homes; demand improved facilities for coal combustion or replace coal with natural gas at small industrial facilities; and, together with the National government, promote a faster upgrade of the vehicular fleet. Given the similarities among towns in the Sabana Occidente and Sabana Centro counties, in terms of climate, culture, level of development, and economic activities, these conclusions could be applied to the environmental management plans in the rest of the metropolitan area. However, specific source apportionment studies using organic molecular markers would be needed in towns with appreciably different features or sources, and other regions.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Corporación Autónoma Regional de Cundinamarca (CAR) through the research agreement 746/2012 with Universidad Nacional de Colombia. N. Rojas acknowledges the Fulbright Program in Colombia and Ecopetrol for the Visiting Researcher Grant 2014. Authors are grateful to Ana María Villalobos, Alex Lai, Akihiro Fushimi and Chris Worley at UW Madison, and Brandon Shelton at WSLH, for their support with chemical analysis.

Supplementary material

Auxiliary information to aid the interpretation of this article is available at: https://www.revistascca.unam.mx/atm/SupplementaryMaterial/52986-Ro-jas-SupMat.pdf

References

- Bi X, Simoneit BRT, Sheng G, Ma S, Fu J. 2008. Composition and major sources of organic compounds in urban aerosols. Atmospheric Research 88: 256–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2007.11.017
- Blanchard CL, Hidy GM, Tanenbaum S, Edgerton ES. 2011. NMOC, ozone, and organic aerosol in the southeastern United States, 1999–2007: 3. Origins of organic aerosol in Atlanta, Georgia, and surrounding areas. Atmospheric Environment 45: 1291–1302. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2010.12.004
- Bullock KR, Duvall RM, Norris GA, McDow SR, Hays MD. 2008. Evaluation of the CMB and PMF models using organic molecular markers in fine particulate matter collected during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study. Atmospheric Environment 42: 6897–6904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.05.011
- Carslaw DC, Ropkins K. 2012. Openair An R package for air quality data analysis. Environmental Modelling and Software 27–28: 52–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envsoft.2011.09.008
- Cohen B. 2004. Urban growth in developing countries: A review of current trends and a caution regarding existing forecasts. World Development 32: 23–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2003.04.008
- Daher N, Ruprecht A, Invernizzi G, De Marco C, Miller-Schulze J, Heo JB, Shafer MM, Shelton BR, Schauer JJ, Sioutas C. 2012. Characterization, sources and redox activity of fine and coarse particulate matter in Milan, Italy. Atmospheric Environment 49: 130–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. ATMOSENV.2011.12.011
- Fine PM, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT. 2004. Chemical Characterization of Fine Particle Emissions from the Fireplace Combustion of Wood Types Grown in the Midwestern and Western United States. Environmental Engineering Science 21: 387–409. https://doi. org/10.1089/109287504323067021
- Green J, Sánchez S. 2013. Air Quality in Latin America: An Overview. The Clean Air Institute.
- Gómez Gélvez JA, Obando Forero C. 2014. La motorización, el número de viajes y la distribución modal en Bogotá: pasado y posible futuro. Revista de Ingeniería 40: 6–13. https://doi.org/10.16924/revinge.40.1
- Henríquez C, Romero H. 2019. Urban Climates in Latin America, Urban Climates in Latin America. Springer International Publishing, Cham. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-319-97013-4

- Huang W, Baumgartner J, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Schauer JJ. 2015. Source apportionment of air pollution exposures of rural Chinese women cooking with biomass fuels. Atmospheric Environment 104: 79–87. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.066
- Huneeus N, Denier van der Gon H, Castesana P, Menares C, Granier C, Granier L, Alonso M, de Fatima Andrade M, Dawidowski L, Gallardo L, Gomez D, Klimont Z, Janssens-Maenhout G, Osses M, Puliafito SE, Rojas N, Ccoyllo OS-, Tolvett S, Ynoue RY. 2020. Evaluation of anthropogenic air pollutant emission inventories for South America at national and city scale. Atmospheric Environment 235: 117606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2020.117606
- Iannone R. 2016. SplitR: v0.4 (v0.4). Zenodo. https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.49106 (accessed: September 20, 2020)
- Khan B, Hays MD, Geron C, Jetter J. 2012. Differences in the OC/EC Ratios that Characterize Ambient and Source Aerosols due to Thermal-Optical Analysis. Aerosol Science and Technology 46: 127–137. https:// doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2011.609194
- Lambe AT, Logue JM, Kreisberg NM, Hering S V., Worton DR, Goldstein AH, Donahue NM, Robinson AL. 2009. Apportioning black carbon to sources using highly time-resolved ambient measurements of organic molecular markers in Pittsburgh. Atmospheric Environment 43: 3941–3950. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2009.04.057
- Longoria-Rodríguez FE, González LT, Mendoza A, Leyva-Porras C, Arizpe-Zapata A, Esneider-Alcalá M, Acuña-Askar K, Gaspar-Ramirez O, López-Ayala O, Alfaro-Barbosa JM, Kharissova O V. 2020. Environmental Levels, Sources, and Cancer Risk Assessment of PAHs Associated with PM2.5 and TSP in Monterrey Metropolitan Area. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 78: 377–391. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00244-019-00701-1
- Lough GC, Christensen CG, Schauer JJ, Tortorelli J, Mani E, Lawson DR, Clark NN, Gabele PA. 2007. Development of Molecular Marker Source Profiles for Emissions from On-Road Gasoline and Diesel Vehicle Fleets. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association 57: 1190–1199. https://doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289.57.10.1190
- Lough GC, Schauer JJ. 2007. Sensitivity of Source Apportionment of Urban Particulate Matter to Uncertainty in Motor Vehicle Emissions Profiles. Journal of the Air

& Waste Management Association 57: 1200–1213. https://doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289.57.10.1200

- Mangones SC, Jaramillo P, Fischbeck P, Rojas NY. 2019. Development of a high-resolution traffic emission model: Lessons and key insights from the case of Bogotá, Colombia. Environmental Pollution 253: 552–559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.07.008
- McGinnis JE, Heo J, Olson MR, Rutter AP, Schauer JJ. 2014. Understanding the sources and composition of the incremental excess of fine particles across multiple sampling locations in one air shed. Journal of Environmental Sciences 26: 818–826. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1001-0742(13)60508-2
- McGowan H, Clark A. 2008. Identification of dust transport pathways from Lake Eyre, Australia using Hysplit. Atmospheric Environment 42: 6915–6925. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2008.05.053
- Mendez-Espinosa JF, Belalcazar LC, Morales Betancourt R. 2019. Regional air quality impact of northern South America biomass burning emissions. Atmospheric Environment 203: 131–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2019.01.042
- Miyazaki Y, Kawamura K, Jung J, Furutani H, Uematsu M. 2011. Latitudinal distributions of organic nitrogen and organic carbon in marine aerosols over the western North Pacific. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 11: 3037–3049. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acp-11-3037-2011
- Montaño-Soto T, Garza-Ocañas L, Badillo-Castañeda C, Garza-Ulloa H. 2017. Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in ambient particulate matter PM2.5 and 1-hydroxypyrene in children from an area near an oil refinery in Northeast Mexico. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment 23: 125–140. https://doi. org/10.1080/10807039.2016.1229118
- Murillo-Tovar M, Barradas-Gimate A, Arias-Montoya M, Saldarriaga-Noreña H. 2018. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) Associated with PM2.5 in Guadalajara, Mexico: Environmental Levels, Health Risks and Possible Sources. Environments 5: 62. https://doi. org/10.3390/environments5050062
- Nolte CG, Schauer JJ, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT. 2002. Trimethylsilyl Derivatives of Organic Compounds in Source Samples and in Atmospheric Fine Particulate Matter. Environmental Science & Technology 36:4273–4281. https://doi.org/10.1021/es020518y
- Oros DR, Simoneit BRT. 2000. Identification and emission rates of molecular tracers in coal smoke particulate

matter. Fuel 79: 515–536. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0016-2361(99)00153-2

- Pardo CI, Alfonso WH. 2013. Effects of urbanisation and suburbanisation on health in the Bogotá region. In: Cote Poveda, A., Pardo, C.I. (Eds.), Health, Violence, Environment and Human Development in Developing Countries. Nova Publishers, New York, NY, p. 258.
- Pereira GM, Oraggio B, Teinilä K, Custódio D, Huang X, Hillamo R, Alves CA, Balasubramanian R, Rojas NY, Sanchez-Ccoyllo OR, de Castro Vasconcellos P. 2019. A comparative chemical study of PM 10 in three Latin American cities : Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health 12: 1141–1152. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11869-019-00735-3
- Piña WA. 2014. Urbanization: Concepts, trends and analysis in three Latin American cities. Miscellanea Geographica 18: 5–15. https://doi.org/10.2478/ mgrsd-2014-0020
- Ramírez O, Sánchez de la Campa AM, Amato F, Catacolí RA, Rojas NY, de la Rosa J. 2018a. Chemical composition and source apportionment of PM10 at an urban background site in a high–altitude Latin American megacity (Bogota, Colombia). Environmental Pollution 233: 142–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envpol.2017.10.045
- Ramírez O, Sánchez de la Campa AM, de la Rosa J. 2018. Characteristics and temporal variations of organic and elemental carbon aerosols in a high–altitude, tropical Latin American megacity. Atmospheric Research 210: 110-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosres.2018.04.006
- Ravindra K, Sokhi R, Van Grieken R. 2008. Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Source attribution, emission factors and regulation. Atmospheric Environment 42: 2895-2921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2007.12.010
- Rincón-Riveros JM, Rincón-Caro MA, Sullivan AP, Mendez-Espinosa JF, Belalcazar LC, Quirama Aguilar M, Morales Betancourt R. 2020. Long-term brown carbon and smoke tracer observations in Bogotá, Colombia: Association with medium-range transport of biomass burning plumes. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 20: 7459–7472. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acp-20-7459-2020
- Robinson AL, Subramanian R, Donahue NM, Bernardo-Bricker A, Rogge WF. 2006. Source Apportionment of Molecular Markers and Organic Aerosols 1. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Methodology for Data

Visualization. Environmental Science and Technology 40: 7803–7810. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0510414

- Rogge WF, Hildemann LM, Mazurek MA, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT. 1993. Sources of fine organic aerosol. 5. Natural gas home appliances. Environmental Science & Technology 27: 2736–2744. https://doi.org/10.1021/ es00049a012
- Rogge WF, Hildemann LM, Mazurek MA, Cass GR. 1997. Sources of Fine Organic Aerosol. 8. Boilers Burning No. 2 Distillate Fuel Oil. Environmental Science and Technology 31: 2731–2737. https://doi.org/10.1021/ es9609563
- Saldarriaga-Noreña H, López-Márquez R, Murillo-Tovar M, Hernández-Mena L, Ospina-Noreña E, Sánchez-Salinas E, Waliszewski S, Montiel-Palma S. 2015. Analysis of PAHs associated with particulate matter PM2.5 in two places at the City of Cuernavaca, Morelos, México. Atmosphere 6: 1259–1270. https:// doi.org/10.3390/atmos6091259
- Sanchez-Rodriguez R, Bonilla A. 2007. Urbanization, Global Environmental Change, and Sustainable Development in Latin America. IAI, INE, UNEP, São José dos Campos.
- Sapkota A, Symons JM, Kleissl J, Wang L, Parlange MB, Ondov J, Breysse PN, Diette GB, Eggleston PA, Buckley TJ. 2005. Impact of the 2002 Canadian Forest Fires on Particulate Matter Air Quality in Baltimore City. Environmental Science & Technology 39: 24–32. https://doi.org/10.1021/ES035311Z
- Schauer JJ, Mader BT, DeMinter JT, Heidemann G, Bae MS, Seinfeld JH, Flagan RC, Cary RA, Smith D, Huebert BJ, Bertram T, Howell S, Kline JT, Quinn P, Bates T, Turpin B, Lim HJ, Yu JZ, Yang H, Keywood MD. 2003. ACE-Asia Intercomparison of a Thermal-Optical Method for the Determination of Particle-Phase Organic and Elemental Carbon. Environmental Science & Technology 37: 993–1001. https://doi.org/10.1021/es020622f
- Schauer JJ, Rogge WF, Hildemann LM, Mazurek MA, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT. 1996. Source apportionment of airborne particulate matter using organic compounds as tracers. Atmospheric Environment 30: 3837– 3855. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(96)00085-4
- Sheesley RJ, Schauer JJ, Zheng M, Wang B. 2007. Sensitivity of molecular marker-based CMB models to biomass burning source profiles. Atmospheric Environment 41: 9050–9063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2007.08.011

- Shrivastava MK, Subramanian R, Rogge WF, Robinson AL. 2007. Sources of organic aerosol: Positive matrix factorization of molecular marker data and comparison of results from different source apportionment models. Atmospheric Environment 41: 9353–9369. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.016
- Simoneit BRT, Schauer JJ, Nolte CG, Oros DR, Elias VO, Fraser MP, Rogge WF, Cass GR. 1999. Levoglucosan, a tracer for cellulose in biomass burning and atmospheric particles. Atmospheric Environment 33: 173–182. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1352-2310(98)00145-9
- Stein AF, Draxler RR, Rolph GD, Stunder BJB, Cohen MD, Ngan F. 2015. NOAA's Hysplit atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 96: 2059–2077. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1
- Stone EA, Hedman CJ, Zhou J, Mieritz M, Schauer JJ. 2010. Insights into the nature of secondary organic aerosol in Mexico City during the MILAGRO experiment 2006. Atmospheric Environment 44: 312–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.10.036
- Stone EA, Snyder DC, Sheesley RJ, Sullivan AP, Weber RJ, Schauer JJ. 2008. Source apportionment of fine organic aerosol in Mexico City during the MILAGRO experiment 2006. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 8: 1249–1259. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-1249-2008
- Su L, Yuan Z, Fung JCH, Lau AKH. 2015. A comparison of HYSPLIT backward trajectories generated from two GDAS datasets. Science of the Total Environment 506–507: 527–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2014.11.072
- Turpin BJ, Lim H-J. 2001. Aerosol Science & Technology Species Contributions to PM2.5 Mass Concentrations: Revisiting Common Assumptions for Estimating Organic Mass. Aerosol Science & Technology 35: 602–610. https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820119445
- Utembe SR, Watson LA, Shallcross DE, Jenkin ME. 2009. A Common Representative Intermediates (CRI) mechanism for VOC degradation. Part 3: Development of a secondary organic aerosol module. Atmospheric Environment 43: 1982–1990. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. ATMOSENV.2009.01.008
- Vargas FA, Rojas NY. 2010. Chemical composition and mass closure for airborne particulate matter in Bogotá. Ingenieria e Investigacion 30: 105–115.
- Vargas FA, Rojas NY, Pachon JE, Russell AG. 2012. PM10 characterization and source apportionment at

two residential areas in Bogota. Atmospheric Pollution Research 3: 72–80. https://doi.org/10.5094/ APR.2012.006

- Vega E, Reyes E, Ruiz H, García J, Sánchez G, Martínez-Villa G, González U, Chow JC, Watson JG. 2004. Analysis of PM2.5and PM10 in the atmosphere of Mexico City during 2000-2002. Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association 54: 786–798. https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2004. 10470952
- Villalobos AM, Barraza F, Jorquera H, Schauer JJ. 2017. Wood burning pollution in southern Chile: PM2.5 source apportionment using CMB and molecular markers. Environmental Pollution 225: 514–523. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.02.069
- Villalobos AM, Barraza F, Jorquera H, Schauer JJ. 2015. Chemical speciation and source apportionment of fine particulate matter in Santiago, Chile, 2013. Science of the Total Environment 512–513: 133–142. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.006
- Wang Y, Zhuang G, Tang A, Yuan H, Sun Y, Chen S, Zheng A. 2005. The ion chemistry and the source of PM2.5 aerosol in Beijing. Atmospheric Environment 39: 3771–3784. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2005.03.013
- Watson JG, Chow JC, Lu Z, Fujita EM, Lowenthal DH, Lawson DR. 1984. The effective variance weighting for least squares calculations applied to the mass balance receptor model. Atmospheric Environment 18:1347–1355. https://doi.org/https://doi. org/10.1016/0004-6981(84)90043-X
- Xie M, Coons TL, Dutton SJ, Milford JB, Miller SL, Peel JL, Vedal S, Hannigan MP. 2012. Intra-urban spatial variability of PM2.5-bound carbonaceous components. Atmospheric Environment 60: 486–494. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2012.05.041
- Yang H, Li Q, Yu JZ. 2003. Comparison of two methods for the determination of water-soluble organic carbon in atmospheric particles. Atmospheric Environment 37: 865–870. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1352-2310(02)00953-6
- Yatkin S, Gerboles M, Belis CA, Karagulian F, Lagler F, Barbiere M, Borowiak A. 2020. Representativeness of an air quality monitoring station for PM2.5 and source apportionment over a small urban domain. Atmospheric Pollution Research 11: 225–233. https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2019.10.004

575

- Yin J, Harrison RM, Chen Q, Rutter A, Schauer JJ. 2010. Source apportionment of fine particles at urban background and rural sites in the UK atmosphere. Atmospheric Environment 44: 841–851. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2009.11.026
- Zafra Mejía CA, Rodríguez Chitiva LG, Torres Cabrera YA. 2013. Metales pesados asociados con las partículas atmosféricas y sedimentadas de superficies viales: Soacha (Colombia). Revista científica 1:113. https:// doi.org/10.14483/23448350.4571
- Zhang T, Claeys M, Cachier H, Dong S, Wang W, Maenhaut W, Liu X. 2008. Identification and estimation of the biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol using levoglucosan as a molecular marker. Atmospheric Environment 42: 7013–7021. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.04.050
- Zhang Y, Shao M, Zhang Yuan-hang, Zeng L, He L, Zhu B, Wei Y, Zhu X. 2007. Source profiles of particulate organic matters emitted from cereal straw burnings. Journal of Environmental Sciences 19: 167–75. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60027-8
- Zhang Y, Schauer JJ, Zhang Yuanhang, Zeng L, Wei Y, Liu Y, Shao M. 2008. Characteristics of Particulate Carbon Emissions from Real-World Chinese Coal Combustion. Environmental Science and Technology 42: 5068–5073. https://doi.org/10.1021/ es7022576
- Zielinski T, Petelski T, Strzalkowska A, Pakszys P, Makuch P. 2016. Impact of wild forest fires in Eastern Europe on aerosol composition and particle optical properties. Oceanologia 58: 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. oceano.2015.07.005